

## STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

(Section 9600 of the Elections Code requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument).

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the Rebuttal argument AGAINST  
(PRIMARY OR REBUTTAL) (IN FAVOR OR AGAINST)  
ballot measure 1 at the Rancho Mirage General Election  
(LETTER) (TITLE OF ELECTION)  
for the City of Rancho Mirage to be held on April 12, 2016  
(DISTRICT OR JURISDICTION) (DATE)

hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of Their knowledge and belief.  
(HIS/HER/THEIR)

### PROPONENT'S/AUTHOR'S NAME:

### SIGNATURE:

1. Gary Lueders, Vice President  
Friends of CV Link  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(PHONE) \_\_\_\_\_  
January 11, 2016  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE
2. Larry Parrish, Retired CEO  
Riverside County  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(PHONE) \_\_\_\_\_  
January 11, 2016  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE
3. Robert Brockman, Former  
Rancho Mirage Community Development Director  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(PHONE) \_\_\_\_\_  
January 11, 2016  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE
4. David Fierstone, Desert Bicycle Club Member  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(PHONE) \_\_\_\_\_  
January 11, 2016  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE
5. Roger Snoble, Retired CEO  
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(PHONE) \_\_\_\_\_  
January 11, 2016  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

RECEIVED  
JAN 11 PM 3:04  
CITY OF RANCHO MIRAGE  
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

## **Measure 1**

Measure 1 is not about CV Link. It is about limiting the authority of all future council members. The Council's argument doesn't actually address Measure 1. Instead, they rant about CV Link being unsafe even though the purpose of CV Link is to create a safe environment for pedestrians, bicyclist and NEVs by separating them from general traffic.

CV Link as planned would not share any general traffic lane but be exclusively on its own path.

CV Link will have its own rest stops. Our public Library now has bike racks and even electric vehicle charging stations seeming to welcome anyone coming to the library on bikes and electric vehicles.

CV Link is only now starting into the environmental process which is designed to identify issues and solve them. The process may well result in an improved plan that a future council may or may not support. Taking that option away from them by requiring another vote is not only wrong but expensive. It is premature to vote on a draconian measure like this before we know what the details of the project will be.

If this is good policy then there should be a public vote on every project the city is planning in the future. If so why do we need a council?

Measure 1 sets a dangerous precedent and is bad public policy.

**VOTE NO on Measure 1.**

Gary Lueders

Vice President, Friends of CV Link

Larry Parrish

Retired CEO Riverside County

Robert Brockman

Former Rancho Mirage Community Development Director

David Firestone

Desert Bicycle Club Member

Roger Snoble

Retired CEO Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority